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Finite automata:
deterministic, nondeterministic, alternating

$$
A=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)
$$

- $Q$ is a non-empty finite set of states
- $\Sigma$ is an input alphabet
- $s \in Q$ is the starting state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states
- $\delta$ is the transition function from $Q \times \Sigma$ to
- a single state in DFA
- a union of states in NFA
- a boolean function of states in AFA
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| DFA: $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\rightarrow$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ |
| $\odot$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ |


| NFA: $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\rightarrow$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1} \vee q_{2}$ |
| $\odot$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ |


| AFA: $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\rightarrow$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1} \wedge \overline{q_{2}}$ |
| $\odot$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ | $\overline{q_{1}}$ |
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Example

| DFA: $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\rightarrow$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ |
| $\odot$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ |
| NFA: $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |
| $\rightarrow$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1} \vee q_{2}$ |
| $\odot$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ |
| AFA: $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |
| $\rightarrow$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1} \wedge \overline{q_{2}}$ |
| $\odot$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ | $\overline{q_{1}}$ |

$\delta\left(q_{1}, b a\right)=$
$\delta\left(q_{2}, a\right)=q_{2}$

- final state
$\delta\left(q_{1}, b a\right)=$
$\delta\left(q_{1} \vee q_{2}, a\right)=q_{2} \vee q_{1}$
- contains final state
$\delta\left(q_{1}, b a\right)=$
$\delta\left(q_{1} \wedge \overline{q_{2}}, a\right)=q_{2} \wedge \overline{q_{1}}$
- evaluate
at finality vector
$f=(0,1)$
- gives 1 ; accepts ba
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is the DFA

$$
\mathscr{D}(A)=\left(2^{Q}, \Sigma, \delta,\{s\},\left\{S \in 2^{Q} \mid S \cap F \neq \emptyset\right\}\right)
$$

Example ("ab-automaton"; $n=3$ )

$$
A \rightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{Q^{a, b}} \xrightarrow{a, b}
$$

$$
\mathscr{D}(A) \rightarrow 0^{a} a
$$
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## The reverse of an NFA $A=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$

is the NFA

$$
A^{R}=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta^{R}, F,\{s\}\right)
$$

where $(p, a, q) \in \delta^{R}$ iff $(q, a, p) \in \delta$

## Example (Reverse of NFA)
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A general formulation of the problem is as follows: We have events $T\left(A_{i}\right)(1 \leq i \leq k)$ representable in automata $A_{i}$ with $n_{i}$ states, respectively, and a $k$-place operation $f$ on events, preserving representability in finite automata. What is the maximal number of states of a minimal automaton representing $f\left(T\left(A_{1}\right), \cdots, T\left(A_{k}\right)\right)$, for the given $n_{i}$ ?
We have languages $L_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$
represented by automata $A_{i}$ with $n_{i}$ states, resp., and a $k$-ary regular operation $f$.

What is the maximal number of states of a minimal automaton representing $f\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}\right)$, for the given $n_{i}$ ?
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## A general formulation of the problem:

We have languages $L_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$
represented by automata $A_{i}$ with $n_{i}$ states, resp., and a $k$-ary regular operation $f$.

What is the maximal number of states of a minimal automaton
representing $f\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}\right)$, for the given $n_{i}$ ?
In this paper:

- $k=1$
- $f(L)=\overline{\sum^{*} \bar{L}}$
- automaton for $L$ in \{DFA,NFA,AFA\}
- automaton for $\overline{\sum^{*} \bar{L}}$ in $\{$ DFA,NFA,AFA $\}$
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## Motivation and history I

- J. C. Birget (1996): The state complexity of $\sum * \bar{L}$ and its connection with temporal logic
- combined operation with complementation
- operational state complexity
(Maslov 1970, Yu, Zhuang, Salomaa 1994)
- combined operations
(A. Salomaa, K. Salomaa, Yu 2007)
- star-complement-star (Jiraskova, Shallit 2012: $2^{\Theta(n \log n)}$ )
- boundary, i.e. $L^{*} \cap\left(L^{c}\right)^{*}$
(Jiraskova, Jirasek 2013: $\Theta\left(4^{n}\right)$ )


## On the Descriptive Complexity of $\Sigma^{*} \bar{L}$

## Outline

(1) Basic notions: DFA, NFA, AFA
(2) Forever operator $L \rightarrow b(L)=\Sigma * \bar{L}$
(3) Birget's results
(9) Our improvements and new results
(0) Open problems

## Question by Jean-Éric Pin

- If NFA (DFA) for $L$ has $n$ states, how many states has NFA (DFA) for $\overline{\sum * \bar{L}}$ ?

Restricted Temporal Logic (Cohen, Perrin, Pin)

- models of $\varphi=$ a regular language $L(\varphi)$
- temporal operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \circ=\text { "next" } \\
& \diamond=\text { "eventually" }
\end{aligned}
$$

- combined operator: $\square$ ("forever") $\square=-\diamond-$ ("not eventually not")

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\bar{\varphi}) & =\overline{L(\varphi)} \\
L(\diamond \varphi) & =\Sigma^{*} L(\varphi)
\end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad L(\square \varphi)=\frac{L(\overline{\diamond \bar{\varphi})}}{}=\frac{\Sigma * \overline{L(\varphi)}}{}
$$

## On the Descriptive Complexity of $\Sigma^{*} \bar{L}$

## Outline

(1) Basic notions: DFA, NFA, AFA
(2) Forever operator $L \rightarrow b(L)=\Sigma * \bar{L}$
(3) Birget's results
(c) Our improvements and new results

Properties of the forever operator

- $b(L) \subseteq L$
- $b(L)=\{w \in L \mid$ every suffix of $w$ is in $L\}$
- if $L$ is suffix-closed, then $b(L)=L$
- if $\varepsilon \notin L$, then $b(L)=\emptyset$
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## Birget's results:

- Upper bounds:
- $L$ accepted by an $n$-state DFA
$\Rightarrow b(L)$ accepted by a $2^{n-1}$-state DFA
- $L$ accepted by an $n$-state NFA
$\Rightarrow b(L)$ accepted by a $2^{n+1}+1$-state NFA
- Lower bounds:
- there is $L$ acc. by ternary $n$-state DFA s.t. every NFA for $b(L)$ has at least $2^{n-1}$ states

| $L \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | AFA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 3 | $2^{n-1}$ | 3 |  |
| NFA |  |  | $\geq 2^{n-1}$ | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | $\leq 2^{n+1}+1$ |  |  |
| AFA |  |  |  |  | $\leq n+1$ |

## On the Descriptive Complexity of $\Sigma * \bar{L}$

DFA-to-DFA; lower bound $=2^{n-1}$ with $|\Sigma|=2$

- Birget's claim: $L^{c}=a(a+b)^{n-2}$
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However, complete DFA has $n+1$ states.

- Our modification: $L^{c}=$

- Unary case: $n$
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Our improvements and new results

| $L \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | AFA |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| NFA |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFA |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Example


$b(L)^{R}$
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Leiss 1981, FJY 1990
$L$ has $n$-state AFA
if and only if $L^{R}$ has $2^{n}$-state DFA with $2^{n-1}$ final states

Reverse of the forever operator:

$$
b(L)^{R}=\overline{\overline{L^{R}} \Sigma^{*}}
$$

- $L^{R}$ is accepted by DFA $A$
$\Rightarrow b(L)^{R}$ is accepted by DFA obtained from $A$ by replacing every non-final state with the non-final sink state

AFA-to-AFA: upper bound $=n$

- L has n-state AFA
$\Rightarrow L^{R}$ has $2^{n}$-state DFA with $2^{n-1}$ final states
$\Rightarrow b(L)^{R}$ has $2^{n}$-state DFA with $2^{n-1}$ final st.
$\Rightarrow b(L)$ has $n$-state AFA
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Our improvements and new results

| $L \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | AFA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 2 |  | $\leq n$ |  |
| NFA |  |  | $\leq 2^{n}+1$ | $\leq n$ |  |
| AFA |  |  |  | $\leq n$ |  |
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Leiss 1981, FJY 1990
$L$ has $n$-state AFA
if and only if
$L^{R}$ has $2^{n}$-state DFA with $2^{n-1}$ final states

DFA-to-AFA: lower bound $=n$ with $|\Sigma|=3$


- $L$ is suffix-closed $\Rightarrow b(L)=L$
- minimal DFA for $L^{R}$ has $2^{n-1}+1$ states $\Rightarrow$ every AFA for $L$ has $\geq n$ states

AFA-to-AFA: lower bound $=n$ with $|\Sigma|=1$

$$
L=\left\{a^{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}-1\right\}
$$

- accepted by DFA of $2^{n}$ states; $2^{n-1}$ final $\Rightarrow$ accepted by $n$-state AFA; ...
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- minimal DFA for $L^{R}$ has $2^{n-1}+1$ states $\Rightarrow$ every AFA for $L$ has $\geq n$ states

NFA-to-AFA: lower bound $=n$ with $|\Sigma|=2$
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## Our improvements and new results

| $L \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA | AFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 2 |  | $n$ | 3 |
| NFA |  |  | $\leq 2^{n}+1$ | $n$ | 2 |
| AFA |  |  |  | $n$ | 1 |
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$$
b(L)^{R}=\overline{\overline{L^{R} \Sigma^{*}}}
$$

NFA-to-NFA: upper bound $=2^{n-1}$

- $L \quad n$-state NFA (one initial)
$\Rightarrow \quad L^{R} \quad n$-state NFA (one final)
$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{L^{R}}{L^{R}} \quad 2^{n}$-state DFA (2 $2^{n-1}$ final)
$\Rightarrow \quad \overline{L^{R}} \quad 2^{n}$-state DFA (2 $2^{n-1}$ final)
$\Rightarrow \quad \overline{L^{R} \Sigma^{*}} \quad 2^{n-1}+1$-state DFA (one final sink)
$\Rightarrow \quad \overline{\overline{L^{R} \Sigma^{*}}} \quad 2^{n-1}$ state partial DFA $D$ (all final)
$\Rightarrow \quad b(L) \quad 2^{n-1}+1$-state NFA
- show that $L(D)$ is accepted by a $2^{n-1}$-state NFA with one final state
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## Our improvements and new results

| $\angle \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | AFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 2 |  |  | $n$ | 3 |
| NFA |  |  | $2^{n-1}$ | 3 | $n$ | 2 |
| AFA |  |  |  |  | $n$ | 1 |
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Dedekind number $M(n)=$

- the number of antichains of subsets
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Example

| $n$ | $M(n)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 20 |
| 4 | 168 |
| 5 | 7581 |
| 6 | 7828354 |
| 7 | 2414682040998 |
| 8 | 56130437228687557907788 |
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## Dedekind number $M(n)=$

- the number of antichains of subsets of an $n$-element set

$$
2^{2^{n-\log n}} \leq M(n) \leq 2^{2^{n-\frac{\log n}{3}}}
$$

NFA-to-DFA: tight upper bound $=M(n-1)$

- upper bound: show that each set of sets is equivalent to an antichain in DFA for $b(L)$
- tightness for $|\Sigma|=2^{n+1}$
- conjecture: 6-letter alphabet should work
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Birget's results:

| $L \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | AFA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 3 | $2^{n-1}$ | 3 |  |
| NFA |  |  | $\geq 2^{n-1}$ | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | $\leq 2^{n+1}+1$ |  |  |
| AFA |  |  |  |  | $\leq n+1$ |

## Our improvements and new results

| $\angle \backslash b(L)$ | DFA | $\|\Sigma\|$ | NFA $\|\Sigma\|$ | AFA $\|\Sigma\|$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 2 |  |  | $n$ |
| NFA | $M(n-1)$ | $2^{n+1}$ | $2^{n-1}$ | 3 | $n$ |
| AFA |  |  |  |  | $n$ |

## On the Descriptive Complexity of $\Sigma * \bar{L}$

## Summary



## On the Descriptive Complexity of $\overline{\Sigma^{*} \bar{L}}$

## Summary

| $L \backslash \overline{\Sigma *} \bar{L}$ | DFA | \| $\Sigma$ | p-DFA \| $\quad$ \| | NFA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NNFA \| $\Sigma$ \| | AFA \| $\Sigma$ \| | BFA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DFA | $2^{n-1}$ | 2 | $2^{n-1} \quad 2$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $2^{n-1} \quad 3$ | $n 3$ | $n 3$ |
| p-DFA | $2^{n-1}+1$ | 4 | $2^{n-1} \quad 2$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $2^{\text {n-1 }}$ | $n \quad 2$ | $n 2$ |
| NFA | $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{n}-1)$ | $2^{n+1}$ | $\mathrm{M}(n-1)-1 \quad 2^{n+1}$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $2^{\text {n-1 }} \quad 3$ | $n \quad 2$ | $n 2$ |
| NNFA | $\begin{aligned} & \geq \mathrm{M}(n-1) \\ & \leq \mathrm{M}(n) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \geq \mathrm{M}(n-1)-12^{n+1} \\ & \leq \mathrm{M}(n)-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2^{n-1} \\ & \leq 2^{n}-1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2^{n-1} 3 \\ & \leq 2^{n}-2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $n+12$ | $n 2$ |
| AFA | $2^{2^{n-1}}$ | 2 | $2^{2^{n-1}}-1 \quad 2$ | $2^{n-1}+12$ | $2^{n-1} \quad 1$ | $n$ | $n 1$ |
| BFA | $2^{2^{n}-1}$ | 2 | $2^{2^{n}-1}-1 \quad 2$ | $2^{n}$ | $2^{n}-1 \quad 1$ | $n+11$ | $n 1$ |

## Open problems

- NNFA to $\{$ DFA, p-DFA, NFA, NNFA\}: tightness
- smaller alphabets


## Thank you for your attention
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## AFA for $L \rightarrow$ DFA for $L^{R}$

## Theorem (Fellah, Jürgensen, Yu 1990)

If $L$ is accepted by an n-state AFA, then $L^{R}$ is accepted by a $2^{n}$-state DFA of which $2^{n-1}$ are final.

Proof idea (AFA to NNFA; $n=3$ ):

| $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow q_{1}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{1}$ |
| $q_{2}$ | $q_{1} \vee q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ |
| $\odot q_{3}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{1} \wedge \overline{q_{2}}$ |

$\rightarrow$

Initial: with first component 1
Final: $f=(0,0,1)$
Evaluate $\left(\delta\left(q_{1}, a\right), \delta\left(q_{2}, a\right), \delta\left(q_{3}, a\right)\right)$ at $(0,0,0) \ldots$ gives $(0,0,1)$
Evaluate $\left(\delta\left(q_{1}, b\right), \delta\left(q_{2}, b\right), \delta\left(q_{3}, b\right)\right)$ at $(0,0,0) \ldots$ gives $(0,0,0)$
The reverse of this NNFA is deterministic!

## DFA for $L^{R} \rightarrow$ AFA for $L$

Theorem (Fellah, Jürgensen, Yu 1990, Jiraskova 2012)
If $L^{R}$ is accepted by an $2^{n}$-state DFA of which $2^{n-1}$ are final, then $L$ is accepted by an n-state AFA.

## Proof idea (NNFA to AFA; $n=3$ ):

$L: 2^{n}$-state NNFA, $2^{n-1}$ initial, one final, rev. det.
(

## DFA for $L^{R} \rightarrow$ AFA for $L$

Theorem (Fellah, Jürgensen, Yu 1990, Jiraskova 2012)
If $L^{R}$ is accepted by an $2^{n}$-state DFA of which $2^{n-1}$ are final, then $L$ is accepted by an n-state AFA.

## Proof idea (NNFA to AFA; $n=3$ ):

$L: 2^{n}$-state NNFA, $2^{n-1}$ initial, one final, rev. det.
(

## DFA for $L^{R} \rightarrow$ AFA for $L$

Theorem (Fellah, Jürgensen, Yu 1990, Jiraskova 2012)
If $L^{R}$ is accepted by an $2^{n}$-state DFA of which $2^{n-1}$ are final, then $L$ is accepted by an n-state AFA.

## Proof idea (NNFA to AFA; $n=3$ ):

$L: 2^{n}$-state NNFA, $2^{n-1}$ initial, one final, rev. det.
(

## DFA for $L^{R} \rightarrow$ AFA for $L$

## Theorem (Fellah, Jürgensen, Yu 1990, Jiraskova 2012)

If $L^{R}$ is accepted by an $2^{n}$-state DFA of which $2^{n-1}$ are final, then $L$ is accepted by an n-state AFA.

## Proof idea (NNFA to AFA; $n=3$ ):

$L: 2^{n}$-state NNFA, $2^{n-1}$ initial, one final, rev. det.

|  |  | $q_{1} \cdot a$ | $q_{2} \cdot a$ | $q_{3} \cdot a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 001 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $a \quad$ a | 010 | 0 |  |  |
| $\text { (000) }{ }^{a}$ | 011 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| $b_{b}$ b | 101 | 1 |  |  |
| $\left(\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\},\{a, b\}, \cdot, q_{1},\left\{q_{3}\right\}\right)$ | 110 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 111 | 1 |  |  |

## AFA for $L \rightarrow$ DFA for $L^{R}$

## Theorem (Fellah, Jürgensen, Yu 1990)

If $L$ is accepted by an n-state AFA, then $L^{R}$ is accepted by a $2^{n}$-state DFA of which $2^{n-1}$ are final.

Proof idea (AFA to NNFA; $n=3$ ):

| $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow q_{1}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{1}$ |
| $q_{2}$ | $q_{1} \vee q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ |
| $\odot q_{3}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{1} \wedge \overline{q_{2}}$ |

$\rightarrow$

Initial: with first component 1
Final: $f=(0,0,1)$
Evaluate $\left(\delta\left(q_{1}, a\right), \delta\left(q_{2}, a\right), \delta\left(q_{3}, a\right)\right)$ at $(0,0,0) \ldots$ gives $(0,0,1)$
Evaluate $\left(\delta\left(q_{1}, b\right), \delta\left(q_{2}, b\right), \delta\left(q_{3}, b\right)\right)$ at $(0,0,0) \ldots$ gives $(0,0,0)$
The reverse of this NNFA is deterministic!

