

## Moment problem for majorated operators

Miloslav Duchoň, Ján Haluška

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia,

duchon@mau.savba.sk, jhaluska@mail.saske.sk

### Abstract

We present a moment problem in the context of majorated operators on the space of continuous functions. A generalization of the Hausdorff moment theorem is formulated and proved.

**Keywords.** Moment, normed vector space, majorated operator

**AMS Subject Classification (1991):** 28E10, 81P10

This paper has been supported by grant VEGA 1228/95.

Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $(x_n)$  a sequence of linearly independent elements of  $X$ . If we have an operator  $U$  on  $X$  into some space  $Y$  we can form a sequence of elements of  $Y$

$$U(x_n) = y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

A moment problem in a wide sense may be stated as follows: Given a sequence  $(y_n)$  of elements of  $Y$  find an operator  $U$  satisfying (1). The solution of the problem stated in such a wide sense can not be done in a satisfactory manner. Nevertheless even in a general case one can formulate some conditions of solvability and uniqueness of solution of the moment problem.

If the set  $(x_n)$  is fundamental, i. e. generating  $X$ , an operator  $U$  (if it exists) is uniquely determined by the sequence  $(y_n)$ . It is easy to see that fundamentality of  $(x_n)$  is also a necessary condition of a unique solution of the moment problem. Of course we need some kind of linearity in the space  $Y$ . We shall suppose that  $Y$  is a normed vector space. If we denote by  $U$  an operator (not necessarily additive) defined on a set  $(x_n)$  by

$$U(x_n) = y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2)$$

then, as existence of an operator  $U$  is equivalent to possibility of a linear extension of  $U$  to a linear hull  $co((x_n))$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for solving moment problem there is existence of such a constant  $M$  that for any complex numbers  $c_0, c_1, \dots$ , the inequality

$$\left\| \sum c_k y_k \right\| \leq M \| \sum c_k x_k \|_X \quad (3)$$

is satisfied ( $\|\cdot\|$  denotes a norm on  $Y$  and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  a norm on  $X$ ) or equivalently

$$\sup \frac{\left\| \sum c_k y_k \right\|}{\left\| \sum c_k x_k \right\|_X} < M, \quad (4)$$

the supremum being taken over all possible

$$c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

We shall consider only a power moment problem when  $X = C[a, b]$  and

$$x_n(t) = t^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (5)$$

Recall that the function  $g(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  is said to have bounded variation  $|g|$  if  $\sup \sum_j \|g(s_j) - g(s_{j-1})\| < \infty$  where all possible partitions of  $[a, b]$  are considered. We can formulate a task in the considered case as follows: Decide under which conditions there exists such a function of bounded variation  $g(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  that

$$\int_a^b t^n dg(t) = y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (6)$$

The conditions (3) and (4) of solvability of the moment problem (6) can be written with  $t^k$  instead of  $x_k$ .

We shall derive a concrete result relating to a power moment problem in the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 1** *In order that there exists a function of bounded variation  $g(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\int_0^1 t^n dg(t) = y_n, \quad y_n \in Y, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (7)$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a constant  $M$  such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \|\Delta^{n-k} y_k\| \leq M, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (8)$$

*where  $\Delta^m y_k$  denotes the  $m$ -th differences for the sequence  $(y_k)$  defined inductively by equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{m+1} y_k &= \Delta^m y_k - \Delta^m y_{k+1}, \quad \Delta^0 y_k = y_k, \\ m &= 0, 1, \dots; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

**Proof. The necessity.** Let the moment problem (8) be solved. Denote by  $L$  a bounded linear operator on the space  $C[0, 1]$  generated by a function of bounded variation,  $g(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ ,

$$L(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dg(t), \quad f \in C[0, 1]$$

Put

$$x_k^{(m)}(t) = t^k(1-t)^m, \quad m, k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (10)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^{(m+1)}(t) &= t^k(1-t)^{m+1} = t^k(1-t)^m - t^{k+1}(1-t)^m = \\ &= x_k^{(m)}(t) - x_{k+1}^{(m)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$L(x_k^{(m+1)}) = L(x_k^{(m)}) - L(x_{k+1}^{(m)}), \quad m, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Further

$$L(x_k^{(0)}) = y_k.$$

If we take into the consideration (10), we can easily see (by induction) that

$$L(x_k^{(m)}) = \Delta^m y_k, \quad m, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

From this we deduce that (8) is satisfied. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \|\Delta^{n-k} y_k\| &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\| \int_0^1 x_k^{(n-k)}(t) dg(t) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 |x_k^{(n-k)}(t)| d|g|(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} t^k(1-t)^{n-k} \right) d|g|(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 [t + (1-t)]^n d|g|(t) \leq |g|[0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The necessity of the condition is proved, if we put  $M = |g|[0, 1]$ .

**The sufficiency.** Let  $L_0$  denote the operator defined on the set of functions  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n(t) = t^n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  into  $Y$  by formula  $L_0(x_n) = y_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Extend  $L$  to the linear hull of the set  $(x_n)$ , i. e. to the set of all polynomials. Namely if  $x(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_n t^n$ , we put

$$L(x) = c_0 y_0 + c_1 a_1 = \dots + c_n y_n.$$

Since the functions  $x_n$  are linearly independent the definition of  $L$  will be unique.

The operator  $L$  defined above will be clearly additive and homogeneous. We shall see that condition (9) implies that  $L$  is bounded.

Let us note that (even without this condition) the operator  $L$  is bounded on the set  $P_m$  of polynomials the degree of which is  $\leq m$ , because  $P_m$  is finite-dimensional space (as coordinates we take coefficients of polynomial), hence the convergence in  $P_m$  is coordinatewise.

We have

$$L(x_k^{(s)}) = \Delta y_k^s, \quad s, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Take any polynomial  $p(t)$ . Let the degree of  $p(t)$  be  $m$ . Form the sequence of corresponding Bernstein polynomials (of  $p(t)$ )

$$p_n(t) = B_n(p; t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) t^k (1-t)^{n-k}.$$

It is well-known that the degree of the polynomial  $p_n(t)$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  is not greater than  $m$ , and since  $p_n(t)$  uniformly converges to  $p(t)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have (according to remarks above)  $L(p_n) \rightarrow L(p)$ .

To obtain our required function, we use Lemma (see [1], §19, p. 380, 383). For each subset  $A$  of  $[a, b]$ , let  $C([a, b], A)$  denote the space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  vanishing outside  $A$ . If  $F : C([a, b]) \rightarrow X$  is a linear mapping, define for each  $A$ ,

$$\|F_A\| = \sup \sum \|F(\psi_i)\|$$

where supremum is over all finite families  $\psi_i$  in  $C([a, b], A)$  with  $\sum |\psi_i| \leq \chi_A(t)$  for all  $t$  in  $[a, b]$ .

□

**Lemma 1** *If  $F : C([a, b]) \rightarrow X$  is a linear mapping, then there exists a (regular) Borel measure  $\mu : \mathcal{B}([a, b]) \rightarrow X$  with finite variation such that*

$$F(\psi) = \int_a^b \psi(t) d\mu(t), \quad \psi \in C([a, b]),$$

*if and only if*

$$\|F_A\| < \infty$$

*for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}([a, b])$ . A mapping  $F$  with such a property is called majorated (also dominated, ([1])).*

An equivalent definition is as follows.

If  $F : C([a, b]) \rightarrow X$  is a linear mapping, it is majorated (dominated) if and only if there exists a nonnegative Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $B[0, 1]$  such that

$$\|F(\psi)\| \leq \int_a^b |\psi(t)| d\mu(t), \quad \psi \in C([a, b]),$$

To prove that

$$\|L_A\| = \sup \sum \|L(\psi_i)\|$$

is finite, we take for every  $\psi_i$  corresponding Bernstein polynomial

$$p_n^i(t) = B_n(\psi_i; t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \psi_i\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) t^k (1-t)^{n-k}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum \|L(p_n^i)\| \leq \left( \sum \left| \psi_i\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \right) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \|\Delta^{n-k} y_k\| \leq M, n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We have the equivalent form of the moment theorem:

**Theorem 2** *In order that there exists a vector measure of bounded variation  $\mu : B[0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\int_0^1 t^n d\mu(t) = y_n, y_n \in Y, n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (11)$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a constant  $M$  such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \|\Delta^{n-k} y_k\| \leq M \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \quad (12)$$

**Remark 1** *For suitable auxiliary readings, we refer to [2] and [3].*

## References

- [1] DINCULEANU, N.: Vector measures. VEB, Berlin, 1966.
- [2] HAUSDORFF, F.: Momentprobleme für ein endliches Intervall. Math. Z. 16(1923), 220–248.
- [3] WIDDER, D. V.: The Laplace transform. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.